

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/583,459	06/19/2006	Arthur H. Thompson	2004UR004	3699	
J Paul Plummer ExxonMobil Ujstream Research Company P O Box 2189 (Corp-Urc-Sw337) Houston, TX 77252-2189			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			DIACOU, ARI M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3663		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/05/2008	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/583 459 THOMPSON ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit ARI M. DIACOU 3663 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 June 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.8.9 and 18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.8.9 and 18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) T Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,459 Page 2

Art Unit: 3663

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Response to Arguments

 In the remarks filed 6-2-2008, applicant argued for a variety of reasons that Berg did not teach all aspects of the claimed invention. The arguments are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claim 1 would be allowable if the following amendment were made:

Page of Remarks	Regarding Claim	Line of Claim	Change
			conductor to each other, wherein the conducting material is unconnected by electrical conductor to said electrodes, to
5	1	7	substantially

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1, 8-9 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hombostel (US 6664788 published Dec 16, 2003).

With regard to claim 1, Hornbostel discloses a method for reducing noise from near-surface conversions of EM to seismic energy in an electro seismic survey of a subsurface formation, said survey using a plurality of near-surface electrodes connected Art Unit: 3663

to the output terminals of a source signal generator for transmission of electrical current into the earth said method comprising:

positioning one item of conducting material or a plurality of such items [potions of 142 and 143 most into page] connected by electrical conductor [the ground layer 145] to each other [see field lines 144] to substantially minimize near-surface electric fields [Filed lines 144 are not near-surface fields] in a region between or defined by the item or items of conducting material [Fig. 1, #145], wherein in the case of a single item of conducting material the item is configured to define a region, thereby providing an area of low surface noise for survey receiver placement

- With regard to claim 8, <u>Col 13, line 34 Col. 14, line 23</u> discloses placing receivers near the electrodes.
- With regard to claim 9, the electrode wires #143 and #142 are parallel.
- With regard to claim 18, Hornbostel discloses Col. 9, lines 30-34.

#### Conclusion

- The references made herein are done so for the convenience of the applicant.
   They are in no way intended to be limiting. The prior art should be considered in its entirety.
- The prior art which is cited but not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,459

Art Unit: 3663

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ari M. Diacou whose telephone number is (571) 272-5591. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on (571) 272-6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/AMD/

5-Sep-08

/Jack W. Keith/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3663